



HELLENIC REPUBLIC
MINISTRY OF ECONOMY & DEVELOPMENT

Project Selection Criteria

Cooperation Programme

Interreg (V-A)

Greece-Italy 2014-2020

Interreg
Greece-Italy
European Regional Development Fund



Table of contents

1	Introduction.....	3
1.1	Selection Procedure of Operations	3
2	Evaluation Procedure	4
2.1	1st phase: Administrative and eligibility requirements	4
2.2	2nd phase: Quality assessment of the projects	5
2.3	Scoring	5
2.4	Resolution of complaints.....	7
2.5	Confidentiality and independence	8
3	Sets of criteria.....	9



Edited by the Programme 's Managing Authority
Thessaloniki, Greece. The Programme 's Managing Authority is
hosted by Managing Authority of European Territorial Programmes
which is an integral part of the Greek Ministry of Economy and
Development

Electronic document, available for download in the Library at <http://greece-italy.eu/>

MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

1 Introduction

The present guide describes the Project Selection Procedure (including the Criteria) for the Targeted Call for Strategic Project Proposal in the framework of the Cooperation Programme Interreg (V-A) EL-IT - Greece-Italy 2014-2020 and illustrates clearly and transparently the project selection procedure. This procedure is made public in order to make all stakeholders and project beneficiary aware of the selection procedure and criteria before preparing their application.

1.1 Selection Procedure of Operations

Beneficiaries prepare a proposal in cooperation with the Lead Beneficiary, who submits the proposal to the Joint Secretariat (JS).

After the submission, the project proposal will be evaluated based on selection criteria and will be subject to a two-phase selection procedure, carried out by the Joint Secretariat (JS):

- 1) Administrative and eligibility requirements
- 2) Quality assessment

In case of needs, specific, scientific and/or technical expertise may be required by the JS Coordinator, with the consent of MA and the approval of the MC, may ask for the assistance of external experts during the quality assessment of the proposal, as referred to in the Cooperation Programme Interreg (V-A) EL-IT - Greece-Italy 2014-2020 (see sections: “Guiding principles for the selection of operations” and “Development and Selection of operations”). External experts don’t substitute the JS assessors and their role is purely advisory and consultative. The procedure and the criteria for the selection of these experts will be mutually agreed by the participating countries and will be approved by the Monitoring Committee (MC). The cost for the engagement of those experts will be covered by the Technical Assistance Budget of the Programme. Furthermore, it should be ensured that they have the demanded experience and the scientific qualifications and that they don’t have any conflict of interest.

MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

The JS officers, will examine three different sets of criteria (administrative compliance, eligibility criteria and quality assessment), in two phases in order to make a decision on the projects' approval. The evaluation of the project shall be carried out by two assessors according to the "four-eye" principle.

2 Evaluation Procedure

2.1 1st phase: Administrative and eligibility requirements

Project will be checked for its **administrative compliance** and **eligibility criteria**, in order to ensure that it fulfil the administrative and eligibility requirements of the Programme. This is an "on-off" procedure. Projects, which fail to fulfil the above requirements of the Programme, will be not addressed to the quality evaluation (2nd phase).

- **Administrative compliance:** It confirms that the proposal has been submitted by the deadline set through the MIS; the Application Form has been submitted through the format specified in the Call, is complete and meets all the requirements set in the respective Call; all the required documents have been submitted through the MIS.
- **Eligibility criteria:** This set of criteria examines whether the proposal fulfils the requirements for being eligible for funding by the Programme. These requirements are, for instance, the eligible structure of the cross-border partnership, the general compatibility with the Programme objectives and principles, the co-financing requested, the duration of the project etc. The proposal that do not fulfil the eligibility criteria will be rejected.

The JS may proceed with a supplying request of clarification documents or specifications where had occurred clerical and formal errors in the supporting documents comparing with the project proposal content. Substantial errors cannot be corrected and new documents cannot be produced after the submission of the project proposal.

The Lead beneficiary of the submitted project proposal will be informed in writing on the results obtained on the performance of the 1st phase.

The JS, in accordance with the Managing Authority, informs the applicant of excluded proposal regarding the motivation of exclusion and the possibility to apply for a complaint by following the Programme complain procedure rules (see, the following chapter 3).

2.2 2nd phase: Quality assessment of the project

Only the project that demonstrate administrative compliance and satisfy the eligibility criteria will be subject to **quality assessment**. The quality assessment is based on a scoring system with the conclusions of the project that have passed successfully the 1st phase. During the quality phase, the proposal is evaluated using **core selection criteria**. The purpose of the Core selection criteria is to assess the quality of the eligible project proposal. This entail evaluating the nature of the proposed project, its relevance with and contribution to the achievement of the specific objectives of the Programme, its viability, sustainability and results in the eligible territory, the cross border cooperation and capitalization, the quality of the cross-border partnership, as well as the monitoring and management methodology proposed, information and communication actions and planning of the budget.

The Core selection criteria are divided into:

- **Project Quality (Content related criteria)** (relevance of the proposal, quality of the results, impact and sustainability, innovation, cross border cooperation and capitalization) and
- **Implementation related criteria** (quality of the partnership, quality of the methodological approach, budget and finance).

The different sets of criteria are presented in the following paragraphs.

2.3 Scoring

The below mentioned criteria will be taken into account by the evaluators to assess the projects. The purpose of the Core selection criteria is to assess the quality of the eligible project proposals. The maximum total score a project may obtain is **100 points**. Quality

criteria are closely linked to the nature and objectives of the INTERREG V-A Greece–Italy 2014-2020 Cooperation Programme and are common to all Priority Axes.

The maximum total score a project may obtain is **100 points**. Quality criteria are closely linked to the nature and objectives of the INTERREG V-A Greece–Italy 2014-2020 Cooperation Programme and are common to all Priority Axes.

The Joint Secretariat carries out the evaluation of the proposal in the basis of these selection criteria, as approved by the Monitoring Committee.

The submitted project proposal will be evaluated by **two JS assessors**. The final score of the proposal will be defined in the following way:

- for evaluations with a difference equal or up to 10 points in the score of the two JS assessors, the average score will be calculated and will define the final score obtained.
- for evaluations with a difference higher than 10 points between the score of both JS assessors, a third evaluation will take place. This will be executed by the JS Coordinator and the average score given by the three assessors (2 JS assessors and the JS Coordinator) will be calculated and will define the final score obtained.

The Managing Authority will ensure that the evaluation procedure is carried out in accordance to the requirements of the Call for the project and the approved selection criteria. Then the JS, with the consent of the Managing Authority, submits the following documentation to the Monitoring Committee:

- 1) The fiche of the submitted project proposal, summarizing the most important information about the project proposal
- 2) The score of the evaluated project proposal

The Managing Authority on the basis of the score and considering the budget allocated to the call will propose to the MC the financed project for approval.

To be proposed for financing by the Programme, a project must meet all the below mentioned requirements:

- i. obtains a total rating score equal or higher than the minimum score allowing a project to be financed (**60 points**),
- ii. be in line with all the requirements of the budget available for each thematic objective as stated in the Call for proposals,

The Monitoring Committee selects the project to be funded.

The Lead beneficiary of the submitted project proposal will be informed in writing on the results obtained on the performance of the 1st and 2nd phase checks after the completion of the decision procedure of the Monitoring Committee.

If the proposal is accepted under conditions, the Managing Authority will have to check that these conditions full filled in before Subsidy Contract are signed among the contracting Authorities.

2.4 Resolution of complaints

Project Lead Applicant will have the possibility to submit to MA complaints related to decisions of project assessment and selection.

A procedure will be set up in the relevant programme documents and will be communicated to applicants and beneficiary. All complaints are submitted to MA.

Complaints regarding the selection of operations:

The two Member States shall set up a joint, separate an independent from the Monitoring Committee, committee for the review of any complaint addressed to the Managing Authority regarding the selection of operations made by the Monitoring Committee under the given call for proposal.

This Joint Complaint Committee will be formed by two participants of the Managing Authority and two representatives of the JS. It will evaluate the relevant complaints and give an opinion to the Monitoring Committee that will take the final decision.

The Managing Authority will send this decision to the interested parties and inform the applicants about their judicial rights under Greek law. All relevant procedures will be covered by Greek law since Greece hosts the Managing Authority of the Programme and any case will fall under the jurisdiction of the Greek Courts.

2.5 Confidentiality and independence

The project proposal and the Application Form submitted by project applicant will be kept confidential. The content of project proposal and application form should not be published or forwarded to persons or institutions, which are not directly engaged in the application assessment procedure or decision making. The project idea itself, as well as the description and concept of the project and the structures of the applications remain the property of the project applicants.

All actors included (MC members, MA/JS, assessors and external experts) participating in the assessment procedure have to guarantee that the privacy and confidentiality of the application submitted in the framework of the call for proposal will be kept and that all national privacy laws and EU Regulation (GDPR) related to the protection of personal data (Reg. EU 2016/679) will be respected.

It is not allowed to forward application and assessment documents to actors outside the regular assessment procedure, particular not to project applicants or the wider public.

Furthermore, the MC members, JCC members, MA, JS and external experts will declare that they do not have a conflict of interests comparing with the submitted project proposal, the applicant and their partners. Conflict of interests include also the political influence.

The conflict of interests and its degree must be declared.

All actors involved in assessment, evaluation and selection must sign a declaration of Confidentiality.

3 Sets of criteria

The different sets of criteria are presented below:

PHASE 1 : ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE AND ELIGIBILITY CHECK

Project Identification	
CBC PROGRAMME	INTERREG V-A "GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020"
PRIORITY AXIS	
THEMATIC OBJECTIVE	
INVESTMENT PRIORITY	
SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE	
CALL CODE	
LEAD BENEFICIARY	
PROJECT TITLE	
PROJECT ACRONYM	
PROJECT REFERENCE NUMBER	

1. ADMINISTRATIVE CRITERIA (YES/NO)				
Nr	Criteria	Compliance		Comments
		YES	NO	
A1.1	The Application Package has been uploaded / submitted, by the set deadline, according to the Call.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A1.2	The Application Form has been submitted via MIS in the official format, properly filled in, using the official language of the programme (English).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

A1.3	The Justification of Budget in the required MS excel format has been uploaded, as well as all other obligatory Application Documents in scanned/pdf format.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A1.4	All obligatory Application Documents have been uploaded / submitted in digital version using the Call templates and the required language (i.e –in English, except the supporting documents concerning a) the eligibility of beneficiaries and b) readiness of project activities, which can be submitted in the national languages of the two participating countries).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A1.4.1	The Partnership Declaration correctly filled in and duly signed and stamped by the legal representative of the participating beneficiaries.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A1.4.2	The Co-financing Statement and declaration of non double financing correctly filled in and duly signed and stamped by the legal representative of each Beneficiary.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A1.4.3	The declaration of not generating revenues, correctly filled in and duly signed and stamped by the legal representative of the Lead Beneficiary; or in case of revenue generating projects a cost-benefit analysis is attached.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A1.4.4	The project readiness sheet correctly filled in and duly signed and stamped by the legal representative of Lead Beneficiary.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A1.4.5	Beneficiaries' documents for bodies governed by public law as stated in the call text.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A1.4.6	The documentation of proving the existence of branch within the programme area (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

2. ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA (YES/NO)				
Nr	Criteria	Compliance		Comments
		YES	NO	
A2.1	The proposed activities and the project objectives are in-line with the Programme's Thematic objectives, Investment Priorities and their Specific Objectives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A2.2	The project beneficiaries cooperate ¹ in the:			
	1. Development of the operation (mandatory)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	2. Implementation of the operation (mandatory)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	3. Staffing of the operation and /or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. Financing of the operation (the fulfillment of one of the conditions 3 or 4 should be also mandatory)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A2.3	The Lead Beneficiary and its beneficiaries fall under the eligible categories of beneficiaries according to the call for proposals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A2.4	The project budget, size and costs are in line with the Call for proposals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A2.5	The duration of the project is in line with the limits set in the call for proposals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A2.6	The Lead Beneficiary does not participate, as Lead Beneficiary in more than one (1) project proposal under the same Specific Objective.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

¹ According to Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on specific provisions for the support from the European Regional Development Fund to the European territorial cooperation goal, Article 12, paragraph 4: "Beneficiaries shall cooperate in the development and implementation of operations. In addition, they shall cooperate in the staffing or the financing of operations, or in both."

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

A2.7	Does the project contribute to the Programme's horizontal principles:			
	promotion of equal opportunities and non- discrimination (including provision of accessibility for persons with disabilities);	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	promotion of sustainable development;	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	promotion of equality between men and women;	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
A2.8	The project activities are not physically completed or fully implemented before the application for funding is submitted (Reg. 1303/2013, article 65, par. 6).	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Assessor 1	Name			
	Signature			
	Date			
Assessor 2	Name			
	Signature			
	Date			

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
 Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
 57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
 Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
 www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
 Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
 Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
 Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
 www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

PHASE B: PROJECT QUALITY

B.1 Content - related Criteria	Number of criteria	Max points
B.1.1 Relevance of the proposal	4	20
B.1.2. Cross Border Co-operation and Capitalization	2	8
B.1.3. Quality of Results-Efficiency and effectiveness	2	15
B.1.4. Sustainability	1	8
B.1.5. Innovation	1	3

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu **Page 13 of 23**

TOTAL	10	54
--------------	----	----

B.2 Implementation – related Criteria	Number of criteria	Max points
B.2.1. Quality of the Partnership	3	12
B.2.2 Managerial Quality	2	7
B.2.3. Quality of Methodological Approach	3	16
B.2.4. Budget and Funding	3	11
<u>Total</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>46</u>

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

PHASE B: PROJECT QUALITY					
B.1 Content - related Criteria					
Criteria	Sub-Criteria	Analysis	Reference to the AF	Score	Comments
B.1.1 Relevance of the proposal	B.1.1.1 Does the proposal contribute significantly to the achievement of the specific objectives of the investment priorities and the corresponding thematic objectives?	0=None	B. 1.2		
		1=Partially - general description of project's objectives with partial documentation			
		3=Satisfactorily- fairly substantiated the feasibility of the project and its contribution to the achievement of the specific objectives of the investment priorities and the corresponding thematic objectives.			
		5=extensively - clearly substantiated the feasibility of the project and its contribution to the achievement of the specific objectives of the investment priorities and the corresponding thematic objectives.			
	B.1.1.2. Is the project consistent with one or more cross-cutting themes (investing in education skills and lifelong learning, strengthening institutional capacity and efficiency of public administration) of the Programme?)	0=None	B. 8.1.b		
		3= Barely (one)			
		4= Fairly (one and barely two)			
		6= Fully (two)			
	B.1.1.3. Does the proposal promote synergies	0=None	B.8.2		

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
 INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
 Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
 57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
 Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
 www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
 Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
 Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
 Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
 www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu **Page 15 of 23**

	with the EU/ Regional/National/Local strategies	1=Barely (One)	B.8.3		
		2= Fairly (One-Two and other Programmes)			
		4=Fully at all levels			
	B.1.1.4. Does the proposal contribute to the macro- regional strategy of EUSAIR?	0=None			
		1= Bare contribution			
		3= Partial contribution			
		5= Strong contribution			
			Total (Max. 20)		
ts- Effici ency	B.1.2.1. Does the project demonstrate clearly the need for cross border approach to the addressed topic? (Is there a real demand for the project? Does it address common cross border challenges and opportunities in the Programme area?), and demonstrate a benefit for both sides of the border?	0=None	B.1.1, B.7.1, B.7.2		
		1=Barely			
		2= Partially			
		3= Satisfactorily			
		6=Extensively			
	B.1.2.2. To what extent does the project capitalize previous cooperation and experience, especially in the Programme area?	0=None	B.7.3		
1= Small or Medium					
2=High					
			Total (Max. 8)		
ts- Effici ency	B.1.3.1.Are the project results specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time-	0=None	B.1.3, B.1.4 and		
		1=Barely (1 characteristic)			

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
 Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
 57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
 Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
 www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
 Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
 Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
 Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
 www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

	bound?	2= Partially (2 characteristics)	section F		
		4=Satisfactorily (3 characteristics)			
		8=extensively (4 or 5 characteristics)			
	B.1.3.2.Do the project results create real added value within the areas of intervention? Do they linked to the economic, social and environmental indicators?	0=None	B.1.1, B.7.1		
		1=Barely (added value and no reference to indicators)			
		2= Partially (added value and vague reference to some indicators)			
4=Satisfactorily (added value and concrete reference to some indicators)					
	7=extensively (It is well documented with reference to specific indicators -economic indicators, unemployment, social indicators, educational indicators etc- that the project creates an added value to the area of intervention)				
Total (Max .15)					

B.1.4. Sustainability	B.1.4.1 Is there a realistic possibility for the sustainability in order the project to	0= None (No prospect)	B.1.3, B.1.4 and B.6	
		2=Low prospect of sustainability		

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
 Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
 57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
 Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
 www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
 Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
 Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
 Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
 www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

	continue to be sustainable after the end of the eligible Programme period?	5=Medium prospect of sustainability			
		8= Strong prospect of sustainability			
Total (Max. 8)					
B.1.5. Innovation	B.1.5.1 Does the project proposal contain innovative features (new ideas, more effective devices or processes: new solutions, new technologies, and new products)?	0=None	B.1		
		1= Partially (limited reference)			
		2= Satisfactorily (not adequate documentation)			
		3=Extensively (clear reference)			
Total (Max. 3)					
TOTAL For Category "Content Related Criteria" (Max. 54)					

B.2 Implementation – related Criteria

MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
 Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
 57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
 Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
 www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
 Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
 Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
 Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
 www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

Criteria	Description	Analysis/comments	Reference to the AF	Score	Comments
B.2.1. Quality of the Partnership	B.2.1.1. Does the proposed partnership exhibit wide and balanced geographic coverage?	0=No	B.2.1		
		1= Partially			
		2= Satisfactorily			
		4=Extensively			
	B.2.1.2. Does the partnership contain suitable beneficiaries subject to the roles they will be involved for the successful implementation of the project?	0=No	B.2.1 and B3.1		
		1= Partially			
		2= Satisfactorily			
		4=Extensively			
	B.2.1.3. To what extent are the specific roles (actions and responsibilities) clearly and appropriately distributed among the Lead Beneficiary and the other beneficiaries?	0=No	B.2		
		1= unclear roles and improper distribution			
		2=clear roles improper distribution or vice versa			
		4=Clear roles and clear distribution in relation to capacity			
Total (Max. 12)					
B.2.2 Managerial Quality	B.2.2.1. Does the Lead Beneficiary demonstrate the capacity (managerial, steering, administrative, etc) to coordinate, manage control and monitor the overall project implementation (previous experience completed projects)?	0=None	B.3.1, B.3.2		
		1=Low (almost all project activities assigned to external experts and no prior experience)			
		2=Adequate (partly own staff and mainly external experts and some prior experience)			
		5=High (mainly own staff and only specia-			

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
 Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
 57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
 Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
 www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
 Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
 Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
 Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
 www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

		ized needs to external experts)			
	B.2.2.2 To what extent is appropriate project management clearly demonstrated?	0=None	B.3.2		
		1=Low (Basic management procedures)			
		2=High (Very well developed management connected to outputs and results)			
Total (Max. 7)					
B.2.3. Quality of Methodological Approach	B.2.3.1. Is the structure and methodology of the proposal clear and coherent enough to lead to tangible and visible results? Is there consistency between the project activities and the anticipated project objectives, the expected outputs and attainment of results?	0= No Coherence	B.1, B.2		
		3= Generally described interconnection between project activities and the anticipated project objectives, the expected outputs and attainment of results.			
	B. 2.3.2. How much ready is the project (in which stage of completion are the administrative procedures) that allow the implementation of the project (licenses, designs, permits, land acquisition etc.)	6=Clearly described interconnection between project activities and the anticipated project objectives, the expected outputs and attainment of results.	B.5		
		0= No readiness	Documentation for Infrastructure Projects		
		1=Basic implementation of required procedures			
		3= Advanced stage of implementation of required procedures			

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
 Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
 57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
 Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
 www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
 Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
 Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
 Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
 www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

		5= All necessary required procedures completed –all approvals obtained			
	B.2.3.3. To what extent are the communication activities appropriate efficient and well-structured to reach the relevant target groups and stakeholders?	0= No communication Strategy	B.4		
		1= General description of communication strategy not clearly related to project outputs and results nor oriented to target groups			
		3= Good description of communication strategy related to project outputs and results but not oriented to target groups			
		5=Clear and concise description of communication strategy towards specific target groups and related to outputs and results			
Total (Max. 16)					
B.2.4. Budget and Funding	B.2.4.1 Do the Lead Beneficiary and the beneficiaries demonstrate the capacity, from a financial point of view, to manage project implementation, taking into account the simultaneous management of different strategic projects?	0=No	B.1.4,		
		1= Partially	Justificatio		
		2= Satisfactorily	n of		
		3=Extensively	Budget		
	B.2.4.2. To what extent is the proposed	1= Insufficiently justified/explained budget	B.1.4,		

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
 Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
 57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
 Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
 www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
 Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
 Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
 Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
 www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

	budget realistic, well justified and proportionate to the activities to be implemented and to the duration of the project?	3= Basically justified/explained budget	Justification of Budget		
		4=well justified/explained budget			
	B.2.4.3. Is the budget logically planned and distributed among the beneficiaries and the activities?	2= Partially balanced budget	B.2.1, B.2.2, Justification of Budget		
		4= Fully balanced budget			
Total (Max. 11)					
TOTAL For Category "Implementation Related Criteria" (Max. 46)					

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
 Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
 57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
 Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
 www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
 Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
 Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
 Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
 www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu

3. Protocol data

Each assessment sheet should be headed with data regarding the Programme and project as follows:

Project Identification	
CBC PROGRAMME	INTERREG V-A "GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020"
PRIORITY AXIS	
THEMATIC OBJECTIVE	
INVESTMENT PRIORITY	
SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE	
CALL CODE	
LEAD BENEFICIARY	
LEAD BENEFICIARY - MIS BODY CODE	
PROJECT TITLE	
PROJECT ACRONYM	
PROJECT REFERENCE NUMBER (MIS code)	

as, well as, the assessment sheet should report the data of each Assessor, her/his signature and the date of her/his assessment, as follows:

Assessor -----	Name	
	Signature	
	Date	

**MANAGING AUTHORITY OF COOPERATION PROGRAMME
INTERREG V-A GREECE-ITALY 2014-2020**

Hellenic Republic, Ministry of Economy & Development
Zeda Building, 2nd floor - 65, Georgikis Scholis Av.,
57001 - Pylaia, Thessaloniki
Ph. +30 2310 469600 Fax +30 2310 469602
www.greece-italy.eu - interreg@mou.gr

JOINT SECRETARIAT

Puglia Region Coordination of International
Policies - Territorial Cooperation Section
Lungomare Nazario Sauro 30\31, 70121
Ph. +39 080 5406441 Ph. +39 080 5406578
www.greece-italy.eu - info@greece-italy.eu